

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexasofan, Virginia 22313-1450 www.repto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/628,568	07/29/2003	Kevin Baker	742441-2	4119
22:04 75:00 02:08:25:08 NIXON PEABODY, LLP 401 9TH STREET, NW			EXAMINER	
			TRAN, HANH VAN	
SUITE 900 WASHINGTO	ON, DC 20004-2128		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3637	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/08/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/628,568	BAKER, KEVIN	
Examiner-initiated interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Hanh V. Tran	3637	
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>Final</u>		
(1) <u>Hanh V. Tran</u> .	(3)		
(2) Mr. Marc Butler.	(4)		
Date of Interview: 31 January 2008	Time: <u>5:30</u>		
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applica Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes If Yes, provide a brief description: ☐ No	nt's representative)		
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed:			
Claims discussed:			
Prior art documents discussed:			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER See Continuation Sheet	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	DISCUSSED:	
Part III.			
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary 	examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview	
(A	pplicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)	

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant's representative was contacted to determine whether or not a response to the Office action mailed on 7/26/2007 has been filed. Applicant's representative informed the examiner that no such response has been filed; thus, the application is to go abandoned.